

Separate Annex 8.2 to the Report: A NATIONAL APPROACH

NOTE: For Completeness, Please Read this Document Together



Safeguard Theme: *Governance*

Safeguard 1: *Actions complement or are consistent with the*

1a. REDD+ actions and PaMs protect ecosystem services in order to fulfil, protect and respect the human right to a clean and healthy environment

1b. REDD+ actions and PaMs undergo Strategic Environmental and Social Assessment (SESA), or Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA), as appropriate, and be monitored through environmental audits (EA)

1c. REDD+ actions and PaMs respect the right to acquire and own land and uphold formal and customary tenure rights by persons, indigenous peoples, and communities.

1 d. REDD+ actions and PaMs mainstream climate change considerations to support the national low carbon climate resilience development pathway objective.

1 e. REDD+ actions and PaMs support the national strategy to achieve and maintain a tree cover of at least 10% of the national land area

1 f. REDD+ actions and PaMs support the objectives of the national forest programme, and the strategic outcomes of the REDD+ strategy.

1 g. 1h. REDD+ action and PaMs comply with obligations under treaties and conventions ratified by Kenya

Safeguard Theme: *Governance*

Safeguard 2: *Transparent and effective national forest governance*

2a. The governance arrangements for REDD+ actions are coherent and operate in a transparent and accountable manner

2b. REDD+ actions and PaMs are gender responsive and embody gender equality and disaggregation

2c. REDD+ decision making public bodies apply the principle that not less than two-thirds of members should belong to one gender

2d. REDD+ actions and PaMs map out and integrate the needs for vulnerable members of society on account of gender and social exclusion

2e. Adequate information about the REDD+ strategy, actions and PaMs and the REDD+ safeguards is publicly available, and in a simple and clear understandable language

2f. Public agencies undertaking REDD+ actions ensure fair administrative action for stakeholders, and put in place adequate and accessible grievance redress mechanisms

2g. REDD+ actions and PaMs include or establish grievance redress mechanisms that are functional, accessible and capable of being impartial, fair and enforcing compliance of outcomes.

2h. Institutional and legal mandates are implemented to ensure integrity in management and use of REDD+ finances with integrity, transparency and accountability

Safeguard Theme: *Do no harm*

Safeguard 3: *Respect for the knowledge and rights of indigenous peoples*
Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples

3a. REDD+ actions and PaMs support securing of community land rights through an inventory together with adjudication, registration and issuance of title deeds.

3b. REDD+ actions and PaMs support, enhance and hasten permanent resolutions of historical land injustice claims by indigenous peoples and local communities.

3c. REDD+ actions and PaMs support, respect, promote and compensate for utilization of the traditional knowledge and cultural heritage of indigenous peoples and local communities is respected

3d. Agreements are negotiated with local communities for access and benefit sharing for REDD+ actions on public forests.

3e. All agreements negotiated with indigenous peoples and local communities for REDD+ actions and PaMs ensure that acceptance or rejection of such agreements by the IPLCs is based on the Free, Prior and Informed Consent of their members.

3f. REDD+ actions and PaMs respect, protect and promote the traditional decision-making mechanisms of indigenous peoples and local communities, where these exist and do not contravene human rights and fundamental freedoms, and do not result in outcomes that are repugnant to justice or morality.

3g. REDD+ actions and PaMs respect, protect and promote the traditional dispute resolution mechanisms of indigenous peoples and local communities, where these exist and do not contravene human rights and fundamental freedoms, and do not result in outcomes that are repugnant to justice or morality.

Safeguard Theme: *Governance*

Safeguard 4: *The full and effective participation of relevant*

4a. Stakeholders are given opportunity for meaningful contribution during decision making for REDD+ actions and PaMs.

4b. The Free Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) of indigenous peoples and local communities is obtained for REDD+ actions and PaMs affecting them, including access and benefit sharing, for projects on public forests.

4c. REDD+ actions and PaMs which are subjected to FPIC are clearly documented with accepted procedures to govern the process and outcomes, including access to all necessary information in understandable form and content.

4 d. Any REDD+ investment projects, or other actions on community land are preceded by FPIC, and an environmental and social assessment.

Safeguard Theme: *Do no harm & Securing Multiple Benefit.*

Safeguard 5: *That actions are consistent with the conservai*

5a. Natural forests, and areas of High Conservation Value (HCV) due to their outstanding biological, ecological, or cultural contribution are identified and protected.

5b. REDD+ actions and PaMs avoid conversion of natural forests to other land uses.

5c. REDD+ actions and PaMs are compatible with agricultural value chains through climate smart interventions to relieve pressure on forests.

5d. REDD+ actions promote and incentivize payment for ecosystem services as benefits.

5e. REDD+ actions and PaMs do not result in net negative impacts on the well-being of stakeholders.

5f. REDD+ actions and PaMs are screened to identify any potential negative impacts (socio-economic, environmental) likely to cause on the well-being of people (with mapping for vulnerability resulting from gender and social exclusion) and mitigation actions put in place.

5g. REDD+ actions and PaMs are screened to identify any potential negative impacts (socio-economic, environmental) likely to cause on the well-being of people (with mapping for vulnerability resulting from gender and social exclusion) and mitigation actions put in place.

5h. REDD+ actions and PaMs enhance forestry interventions that promote non-extractive socio-economic benefits.

5i. REDD+ actions and PaMs enhance support harmonization or coordination between forest management planning and physical planning of adjacent (non) forest land to avoid conversion of forest land.

5j. REDD+ actions and PaMs promote development and implementation of management plans for forests established on community land, and for private plantation forests.

Safeguard Theme: *Governance & Assuring mitigation effectiveness*

Safeguard 6: *Actions to address the risks of reversals*

6a. REDD+ actions and PaMs effectively address drivers of deforestation and forest degradation

6b. REDD+ actions and PaMs include interventions to attain Land Degradation Neutrality.

6c. REDD+ actions and PaMs integrate interventions for realization of Kenya's specific commitments and targets to avoid, minimize and reverse land degradation.

6d. Measures are integrated to address the risk of reversals which might lead to a reduction in the benefits achieved by REDD+ actions and PaMs.

6e. GHG emission reductions or removals from REDD+ actions and PaMs are verified.

Safeguard Theme: *Governance & Assuring mitigation effectiveness*

Safeguard 7: *Action to reduce displacement of emissions*

7a. REDD+ actions and PaMs avoid deleterious outcomes such as displacement of GHG emissions.

7b. REDD+ actions and PaMs ensure any involuntary displacement includes full resettlement and compensation with replacement of socio-economic and environmental benefits to avoid creating drivers of deforestation elsewhere.

7c. REDD+ actions and PaMs screen for and avoid creating GHG emissions leakage of any form (international, market, activity shifting, ecological) and put in place mitigation measures.

7d. REDD+ actions and PaMs enhance participatory and harmonized management and land use planning in forests and other adjacent land uses to avoid emissions displacement.

7e. REDD+ actions and PaMs support alternative socio-economic activities to shift people from displacement of emissions.

7f. REDD+ actions and PaMs integrate disaster risk reduction strategies to avoid, minimize or mitigate involuntary displacement that results in activity-shifting emissions leakage

HOW TO SAFEGUARDS AND A SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION SYSTEM

Consistent with the National Approach to Safeguards Report Available

Indicator Definition	(what is assessed)	Specific Information Submitted to assess Compliance
----------------------	--------------------	---

the objectives of national forest programmes, policy and legal environment

How project enhances quality & function of 4 ecosystem service categories and environmental quality	Environmental quality report; report showing measures taken to protect ecosystem services.
Compliance with requirements for SESA, EIA and EA; application of mitigation hierarchy	SESAs report and approval; approved EIA report; EIA licence; approved ESMP; Annual approved EA reports; any Control EA report
Non-interference with formal (registered) and customary land rights Projects and PaMs funded by REDD+ ensure or enhance compliance with requirements of Climate Change Act; contribution to realization of NCCAP and NDC	Report describing and mapping land tenure rights affected; report explaining measures taken to secure land rights; demonstration how all property rights are being recognized, respected and supported. mainstreaming climate change obligations (e.g statutory climate change duties); Report to Climate Change

<p>evidence of tree planting and tree growing on public forests, private land and community land Evidence that projects and PaMs funded by REDD+ contribute to the National Forest Programme and the strategic outcomes of the REDD+ interventions are fully compliant with obligations held by Kenya under ratified treaties and conventions; evidence of</p>	<p>Reports on tree planting (species, location, survival rate); Forest Cover Change Monitoring Report from Forest Information Platform. Reports showing compliance or implementation of specific actions under Reporting and communications to treaty/convention secretariat on</p>
<p><i>ernance structures, taking into account national legislation and so</i> Evidence that all REDD+ institutional arrangements (supervisory board, steering committee) set out in the REDD+ strategy have been established and dispensing their business Evidence that project and PaMs undertook disaggregated gender analysis and screening on impacts and benefits; and implemented the outcome.</p>	<p>minutes of meetings of REDD+ supervisory board and steering committee; evidence that county governments that Gender impact screening and benefit analysis; evidence of implementation; report on output, outcomes, and/or impacts</p>
<p>How projects and PaMs financed through REDD+ comply with requirement that a minimum of 1/3 members in any decision making body or consultation meeting should be from one gender; evidence of strategy to ensure active engagement with women</p>	<p>Stakeholder engagement strategy with gender mapping; gender strategy for ensuring women participation meets minimum requirement; targeted capacity building for women leaders</p>

Evidence of Gender and Social Inclusion (GESI) screening by all REDD+ funded actions to identify vulnerable members, the impact of the GESI exclusion and propose interventions

GESI screening methodology; GESI screening report with actions; funds earmarked for GESI actions; gender action plans.

Evidence that REDD+ strategy is available in popular version (**simplified non-technical english**, including brochures); project concepts and proposals for stakeholder consultations are simplified and translated into Kiswahili and local languages; and disseminated to the stakeholders most directly impacted by the REDD+ action or PaM; training on safeguards provided for people affected or likely to be affected by REDD+ interventions.

REDD+ Strategy; popular version of REDD+ strategy; translation of REDD+ strategy into non-technical Kiswahili; popular versions of project concepts translated into Kiswahili or local language disseminated in hard copies or electronically in a mobile/smartphone compatible format; training on safeguards provided to people affected or likely to be affected by REDD+ interventions.

Evidence that public agencies (e.g MoEF, Constitutional commissions, counties, state corporations) handling any aspects of REDD+ funded actions and PaMs have put in place adequate, understandable and responsible mechanisms for fair administrative actions. Fair administrative action means that public agencies have a duty to make decisions in a timely fashion, and to give written reasons to those affected by the decision.

No. of REDD+ related decisions made; whether decisions complied with given timelines; evidence that the concerned agency gave written reasons about the decision made; No. of appeals submitted from these decisions; and No. of appeals disposed within the given timeline.

<p>Evidence that accessible mechanisms to admit, screen, admit/dismiss, take evidence, and resolve grievances on REDD+ funded actions and PaMs has been put in place and functioning impartially, fairly. Evidence that GRM outcomes have been enforced.</p>	<p>Grievance redress mechanisms protocols for all concerned institutions (including traditional and contemporary GRM; EACC; NECC; courts); No. of grievances admitted, resolved, referred, dismissed with reasons; No. of decisions appealed from; status of appeal</p>
--	---

<p>Evidence of tracking flows and auditing use of REDD+ financing into Kenya; tracking results for which payments are made; public availability of information on REDD+ financing, audit reports of expenditure; value for money assessments; benefit sharing plans developed in a participatory manner with beneficiaries.</p>	<p>Reports tracking financial flows; independent audit reports; action on audit queries; public dissemination of all the information; benefit sharing plans with clear mechanisms of determining benefit, allocation of benefit; and distribution channels.</p>
---	---

enous peoples and members of local communities, by taking into a

<p>on community land contributed to securing secure land rights for communities. Evidence REDD+ actions proceeded without undermining securing of tenure (impact) as the process may take time and be beyond control of</p>	<p>Report and minutes of meetings demonstrating engagement with county government to advocate for formal submission of inventory to Ministry of Lands (where not yet</p>
---	--

<p>Whether REDD+ financed actions mapped out historical land injustices (HLI) by IPLCs on subject land/forest; action taken to support permanent resolution of HLI; evidence that action taken to avoid violating interests of IPLC claiming land rights through HLI</p>	<p>Analysis whether intended land for REDD+ investment is subject to HLI claim; financial, technical or other action taken to support IPLC effort for permanent resolution; measures taken to protect rights of IPLC if project proceeds. Report of participatory identification and</p>
<p>That traditional knowledge and cultural heritage has been identified, mapped out in a participatory manner with of indigenous peoples and local communities; mechanism for protecting cultural heritage not open to utilization; valuation and method of compensation where traditional knowledge or cultural heritage utilized</p>	<p>mapping of traditional knowledge and cultural heritage with of indigenous peoples and local communities; mechanisms for protection, or for compensation for utilization.</p>
<p>Evidence that community forest management agreements (CFMA) in forest blocks with REDD+ financed actions include access and benefit sharing elements arrived at in a participatory manner and agreed upon between KFS and respective CFA; evidence that agreements have a net positive impact on the forest ecosystem, and for the community.</p>	<p>CFMA with clear access and benefit sharing agreements; evidence that CFA conducted FPIC amongst members to endorse access and benefit sharing arrangements; mechanisms inbuilt into CFMA to ensure net positive impact on forest and on the community; CFMA signed by KFS and respective CFA;</p>

<p>Evidence that FPIC framework put in place with consensus by IPLCs (including threshold of endorsement - what % of members supporting); minutes of meeting(s) showing evidence that there was consent (approval) which was free (no duress), informed (+ve & -ve information was given to IPLCs and that they had access to comparable agreements and to independent technical advice); prior (before project commencement</p>	<p>FPIC framework; evidence of its endorsement by IPLCs; signed minutes of meeting(s) where FPIC consultation and decision was made including video evidence where possible; record of objections (even if by minority members)</p>
--	---

<p>Evidence that REDD+ finance action mapped out and screening for existence of traditional decision making mechanisms of IPLC; screened the mechanism to ensure no violation of human rights or repugnancy to justice or morality; evidence that remedial actions designed in participatory manner of IPLCs to align decision making mechanisms with Constitution; minutes of meetings where traditional decision making mechanism applied for REDD+ actions</p>	<p>Report screening for existence of traditional decision making mechanisms and remedies aligning with Constitution; minutes of meetings of the traditional decision making organs</p>
---	--

<p>Evidence that REDD+ finance action mapped out and screening for existence of traditional dispute resolution mechanisms of IPLC; screened the mechanism to ensure no violation of human rights or repugnancy to justice or morality; evidence that remedial actions designed in participatory manner of IPLCs to align decision making mechanisms with Constitution; minutes of meetings where traditional decision making mechanism applied for REDD+ actions</p>	<p>Report screening for existence of traditional dispute resolution mechanisms and remedies aligning with Constitution; minutes of meetings of the traditional decision making organs</p>
--	---

stakeholders, in particular indigenous peoples and local communi

affected by REDD+ actions have been identified through a consultative process; (b) given opportunity to democratically nominate representatives where this is preferred to consulting all stakeholders; (c) received Evidence that FPIC framework put in place with consensus by IPLCs (including threshold of endorsement - what % of members supporting); minutes of meeting(s) showing evidence that there was consent (approval) which was free (no duress), informed (+ve & -ve information was Evidence that FPIC framework provides for good faith negotiating guarantees for the IPLCs (self representation, adequate time, full range of information IPLCs should have, access to independence

plan (SEP) that includes meaningful input of stakeholders; No. and diversity (type, participants, etc) of stakeholder engagement activities; participatory FPIC framework, evidence of its endorsement by IPLCs; signed minutes of meeting(s) where FPIC consultation and decision was made including video evidence FPIC procedures; popular and translated versions; video format; evidence of dissemination and accessibility; evidence of independent technical

procedure endorsed by community during meeting of Community Assembly. Further - (a) an environmental, social, cultural and economic impact assessment. (b) Minutes of Prior, free and open consultation process and approval by 2/3 of adult members with quorum. (c) continuous monitoring and evaluation of the impact of the investment to the community. (d) payment of compensation and royalties under the agreement. (e) measures to be put in place to mitigate any negative effects of the investment. (g) capacity building of

Evidence of compliance with s.36 of Community Land Act including that (a) any agreement relating to investment in community land shall be made after a free, open consultative process; (b) approved by two thirds of adult members at a community assembly meeting called to consider the offer and at which a quorum of two thirds of the adult members of that community is represented.

s
tion of natural forests and biological diversity, ensuring that the ac

Evidence that REDD+ financed actions have methodology for identifying, assessing and protecting (protected, non-protected) areas of high conservation values have methodology for assessing a biological, ecological, or cultural contribution; ; HCV assessment in all extractives and infrastructure projects and Degazettement proposals. Methodology for identifying, assessing and protecting protected and non-protected areas of high conservation values; how natural forests are protected by the REDD+ actions

Evidence that REDD+ financed actions and PaMs have protected natural forests from conversion to other land uses (e.g. agriculture, extractives, infrastructure, etc)	Integration of REDD+ objectives/PaMs into development planning; creation of buffer zones between natural forests and settlement/agriculture areas; protection of wildlife corridors
--	---

Evidence that climate smart agriculture interventions screened for all REDD+ actions on agriculture land services was undertaken for the REDD+ funded action; mapping of ecosystem	Agroforestry value chains (e.g avocado, citrus, mango banana, etc) with multiple non-extractive benefits on private and community land services resulting from a REDD+ financed action;
--	---

<p>How REDD+ actions and PaMs have mapped and screening risk of negative socio-economic and environmental impacts on stakeholders; special mapping and analysis of higher impact on those whose vulnerability results from gender and social exclusion; evidence of screening for risk of maladaptation or deleterious outcome, and remedial action proposed; evidence of actions to remedy the risk and enhance resilience</p>	<p>GESI screening methodology; GESI screening report with actions; funds earmarked for GESI actions; climate change maladaptation risk assessment methodology and adaptation plan GESI screening methodology; GESI screening report with</p>
<p>Special mapping and analysis of higher impact on those whose vulnerability results from gender and social exclusion; actions to remedy the risk and enhance resilience</p>	<p>actions; funds earmarked for GESI actions; evidence of consultations with stakeholders.</p>
<p>Evidence that REDD+ actions participatorily assessed and integrated forestry interventions that promote non-extractive benefits</p>	<p>Non-extractive forestry benefits delivered by the REDD+ action</p>
<p>Evidence there was - (a) there was inter-agency consultation (KFS/County or KWS County, or departments within county) during management of a public forest adjacent to a settled, agriculture, or wildlife use or other land use areas; (b) consultation resulted in harmonization of land use priorities and addressing solutions; and (c) subsequent management plan, county or local physical and land use development plan do not permit deleterious activities</p>	<p>Proof of inter-agency consultation meeting during management or physical planning and during implementation; evidence of harmonized management and physical planning</p>

Evidence that REDD+ financed actions on community land, or private land supports preparation and implementation of management plans.

Management plan

355

Evidence that REDD+ financed action analysed the drivers of deforestation and forest degradation in the project area

Analysis of drivers of deforestation; plan to address them through the REDD+ interventions Kenya's LDN

Evidence that REDD+ financed interventions have mainstreamed Kenya's LDN Commitment; and screened for LDN actions in locality Evidence that screening and analysis of the risks of reversals has been undertaken for REDD+ interventions and mitigation measures identified for implementation

commitments applicable; participatory identification and mapping of local LDN Screening and identification of risks of reversals; interventions proposed to mitigate risks of reversals

Evidence that REDD+ project includes a mandatory procedure for verification of GHG emissions

GHG emissions verification methodology; evidence

355

Evidence REDD+ actions and PaMs are screened to identify risk of different types of displacement/leakage of GHG emissions; measures to avoid or mitigate leakage are put in place	Screening for risk of leakage; action plan to avoid or mitigate leakages
Evidence that REDD+projects screened for the no-compulsory acquisition/involuntary displacement option; where unavoidable, evidence that compulsory acquisition attained full legal compliance; evidence that just compensation includes full replacement costs for land, and socio-economic and environmental losses for the displaced and resettled persons.	No-displacement option assessment report; report that compulsory acquisition is fully legally compliant; report that just compensation includes full replacement of loss; evidence that compensation was paid promptly to avoid risk of creating GHG emissions displacement.
Evidence of analytical and consultative assessment of risk of GHG emissions leakage; action plan with avoidance or mitigation measures	Analytical study report; action plan with avoidance or mitigation measures; minutes of consultative meetings
Evidence of participatory and consultative land use planning within forests; non-forests lands on which REDD+ interventions are proposed or being implemented	Evidence of consultative land use planning that is socially inclusive; harmonized management or land use plan

Evidence that REDD+ actions have screening for and included alternative socio-economic activities that reduce EVIDENCE THAT REDD+ INTERVENTIONS have analysed vulnerability of stakeholders and environment to

Screening report for alternative socio-economic activities; ASSESSMENT OF vulnerability to climate risks; identified risks of

FOR REDD+ IMPLEMENTATION: The Country Approach to REDD+ Safeguards (Decem

at: <http://www.environment.go.ke/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/A-NATIONAL-A>

Methodology/how information is submitted to SIS	Entities Responsible for Reporting	Institution Responsible for Verifying Quality of Information
---	------------------------------------	--

environmental or climate change obligations, and relevant international conventions and agr

Project proposal; Quarterly reports; end of project reports	Proponent	NEMA
---	-----------	------

Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP); Annual or control EA reports	Proponent	NEMA
--	-----------	------

Signed land lease agreements; consultation minutes; FPIC records; approved Community Forest Management Agreements	Proponent; KFS public or private proponents subject to statutory climate change duties; or mainstreaming obligations	NLC
PaMs mainstream low- carbon climate resilience objectives; compliance with statutory climate change duties; report of		NEMA

Quarterly reports; end of project reports; FIP report on forest cover change monitoring	Proponent; KFS	NEMA
---	----------------	------

Quarterly reports; end of project reports; reports to financiers	Proponent	
Reports and communications to treaty/convention secretariats; minutes of	MoEF - MEA Department	Attorney General

sovereignty

Steering Committee; Quarterly and annual reports of REDD+ Coordination Unit work; Minutes of meetings and	MoEF	KNCHR; NLC; NGEC; NEMA; KFS; EACC; Council of Governors (All members of supervisory board and steering committee)
---	------	---

Project proposal; Quarterly reports; end of project reports	Proponent	NGEC
---	-----------	------

Stakeholder mapping strategy; minutes of decision making body meetings; stakeholder consultation meetings; signed participant lists with gender disaggregation.	Proponent	NGEC
---	-----------	------

Project proposal;
Quarterly reports; end of
project reports;
stakeholder consultation
report; human rights due
diligence reports

Proponent

NGEC

Popular versions;
translations into non-
technical Kiswahili and
local language; evidence
of dissemination;
REDD+ safeguards
training manual;
evidence of training
undertaken

MoEF; Proponent

Commission on Administrative
Justice (Ombudsman)

Quarterly reports;
annual reports

Public agencies making
administrative decisions
concerning REDD+ funded
actions and PaMs;
Commission on
Administrative Justice
(Ombudsman)

KNCHR

<p>Quarterly reports; annual reports Project quarterly/bi- annual and annual financial reports; independent audit reports (e.g Private auditor or Auditor General/KENAO for public agencies; actions on integrity queries (e.g EACC investigation report and/or prosecution; evidence of public dissemination in understandable format</p>	<p>Proponent (on project level GRM systems); public agencies (EACC; NECC; KNCHR; NLC; NGEC; KFS; NEMA; NET; KWS; Relevant County Physical and Land Use Planning Liaison Committee</p>	<p>SIS administrator to assign where no conflict of interest</p>
<p>Proponent</p>	<p>EACC (SIS administrator to assign where EACC may have conflict of interest)</p>	

account relevant international obligations, national circumstances and laws, and noting

<p>Project proposal; Quarterly reports; end of project reports; reports to financiers</p>	<p>Proponent</p>	<p>NLC</p>
---	------------------	------------

Project proposal; specific reports on HLI resolution support; and how rights of IPLCs protected if REDD+ action/project proceeding; quarterly and annual reports; reports to financiers	Proponent; KFS	NLC
Minutes of meetings for participatory identification of traditional knowledge or cultural heritage; evidence of meaningful consultation; evidence of protection measures; evidence of compensation for utilization	Proponent	National Museums of Kenya
Minutes of negotiation meetings between parties; minutes of CFA meeting that granted FPIC; evidence that CFA accessing benefits; evidence that access restrictions removed by CFMA.	KFS, CFA	NEMA

Signed minutes of meeting(s) where FPIC decision made; video evidence

Proponent; KFS

KNCHR

Report screening for existence of traditional decision making mechanisms and remedies aligning with Constitution; minutes of meetings of the traditional decision making organs

Proponent

Commission on Administrative Justice (Ombudsman)

Report screening for existence of traditional dispute resolution mechanisms and remedies aligning with Constitution; minutes of meetings of the traditional decision making organs

Proponent

KNCHR

ties, in the actions referred to in paragraphs 70 and 72 of this decision.

plan; record of engagement meetings; record of any grievances on engagement and how resolved; written feedback to stakeholders concerning how and why	Proponent	KNCHR
---	-----------	-------

Signed minutes of meeting(s) where FPIC decision made; video evidence	Proponent; KFS	KNCHR
Signed minutes of meetings; popular and translated versions; stakeholder survey on satisfaction with dissemination; report on	MoEF, KFS, County, Proponent	KNCHR

Minutes of community
assembly meeting
endorsing FPIC
procedure; minutes of all
FPIC consultation
meetings; meetings of
Community Assembly
meeting that granted
FPIC; REDD+ investment
agreement approved by
community.

Proponent

NLC

tions referred to in paragraph 70 of this decision -

Management plans for
natural forests; plan for
management of high
conservation value areas
through the REDD+
actions being
undertaken

Proponent; KFS; County
government

NEMA

Reports of actions taken
to protect natural forests
from conversion Project proponent NEMA

Project proposal;
Quarterly reports; end of
project reports; reports
to financiers Project proponent KFS
study reports; quarterly
reports, end of project Proponent KEFRI

GESI screening and mapping report; climate change maladaptation risk assessment methodology and adaptation plan; GESI and climate adaptation actions to finance; Screening reports; remedial actions; evidence of consultations with stakeholders.

Project proposal, GESI screening, mapping report and action reports; quarterly reports, annual reports, reports to financiers; stakeholder consultation minutes

Proponent

NGEC

Project proposal; Quarterly reports; end of project reports; reports to financiers

Proponent

KEFRI

Minutes of meetings demonstrating inter-agency consultation; minutes of joint public consultations; approved management plan and county or local physical and land use development plan.

KFS, County, KWS

Director General of Physical and Land Use Planning

Minutes of management planning meeting consultations; management plan; endorsement of plan by community assembly in case of community land

Proponent		KFS
-----------	--	-----

Report on analysis of the specific drivers of deforestation and forest degradation; project proposal, quarterly reports, annual report

Minutes of participation	Proponent	KEFRI
mapping of LDN priorities; report analysing and mainstreaming	MoEF - on implementation of national LDN commitments; Proponent	NEMA

Screening reports; mitigation action plan; implementation reports; reports to financiers

GHG verification methodology; verification reports	Proponent	KEFRI
	Proponent	

Project proposal; Quarterly reports; end of project reports; reports to financiers	Proponent	KEFRI
---	-----------	-------

Specified reports; project proposal; NLC award analytical reports; action plans; minutes of consultative meetings; quarterly and annual reports of action plan implementation	NLC; proponent	KNCHR
	Proponent	NEMA

Minutes of consultative meetings (e.g KFS and CFA), (Proponent with Community Land Management Committee or sub-scommittee & Community Assembly); Management plan or land use plan, as appropriate.	KFS; Proponent	NLC
---	----------------	-----

Project proposal; screening reports; socio- economic analyses; Project proposal,	Proponent	KEFRI
Disaster risk reduction and management action	Proponent	NEMA

ber 2021)

APPROACH-TO-SAFEGUARDS-AND-A-SAFEGUARDS-INFORMATION-SYSTEM

Manner of Verification	Institution Reponsible for Validating Information Quality	Institution Reponsible for Publishing Report on SIS
------------------------	---	---

reements.

Document review Sampling Stakeholder feedback	KNCHR	MoEF
---	-------	------

Regulatory oversight	MoEF	MoEF
----------------------	------	------

Document review Sampling Stakeholder feedback	KNCHR	MoEF
---	-------	------

Document review Sampling Stakeholder feedback	Climate Change Directorate (CCD), MoEF	MoEF
---	---	------

Document review Sampling Stakeholder feedback; ground truthing	MoEF	MoEF
--	------	------

Document review Sampling Stakeholder feedback; ground truthing		MoEF
--	--	------

Document review	Ministry of Foreign Affairs	MoEF
-----------------	--------------------------------	------

Bi-annual peer-review of SIS roles (verifier & validator); anonymous satisfaction survey of those reporting via SIS.	All	MoEF
---	-----	------

Document review Sampling Stakeholder feedback; ground truthing	KNCHR	MoEF
--	-------	------

Document review Sampling Stakeholder feedback; ground truthing	KNCHR	MoEF
--	-------	------

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing KNCHR MoEF

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing or
stakeholder satisfaction survey
on utility of the publicly
available informaiton KNCHR MoEF

Review of all fair
administrative action decisions
made; sampling stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing EACC MoEF

Review of reports; sampling stakeholder feedback; ground truthing	SIS administrator to assign where no conflict of interest	MoEF
---	---	------

Review of reports; sampling stakeholder feedback; ground truthing	SIS administrator to assign where no conflict of interest	MoEF
---	---	------

that the United Nations General Assembly has adopted the United Nations

Document review Sampling Stakeholder feedback; ground truthing	KNCHR	MoEF
---	-------	------

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing NGEC MoEF

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing MoEF

Regulatory Oversight
Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing
through stakeholder
satisfaction survey on
fairness of benefit sharing
arrangements KNCHR MoEF

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing NGEC MoEF

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing or
stakeholder satisfaction KNCHR MoEF

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing or
stakeholder satisfaction NGEC MoEF

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing or
stakeholder satisfaction survey
on utility of the publicly
available information NGEC MoEF

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing NGEC MoEF

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing or
stakeholder satisfaction NGEC MoEF

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing KNCHR MoEF

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing MoEF MoEF

Document review Sampling Stakeholder feedback; ground truthing	MoEF	MoEF
--	------	------

Document review Sampling Stakeholder feedback; ground truthing	NEMA	MoEF
Sampling Stakeholder feedback; ground truthing or	NEMA	MoEF

Document review Sampling Stakeholder feedback; ground truthing or stakeholder satisfaction	KNCHR	MoEF
Document review Sampling Stakeholder feedback; ground truthing or stakeholder satisfaction	KFS	MoEF

Document review Sampling Stakeholder feedback; ground truthing or stakeholder satisfaction	NLC	MoEF
---	-----	------

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing or
stakeholder satisfaction KEFRI MoEF

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing NEMA MoEF

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing MoEF

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing NEMA MoEF

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing NEMA MoEF

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing NEMA MoEF

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing Climate Change
Directorate (CCD), MoEF MoEF

Document review
Sampling Stakeholder
feedback; ground truthing or
stakeholder satisfaction survey
on consultative process NEMA - Management
Plans; County
Department of Physical
and Land Use Planning -
Land use Plans on
community or private
land MoEF

Document review Sampling Stakeholder feedback; ground truthing or DOCUMENT REVIEW	NEMA	MoEF
Sampling Stakeholder feedback; ground truthing or	MoEF	MoEF

M-FOR-REDD+-IMPLEM

